STATE OF COLORADO

OFFICE OF STATE PLANNING AND BUDGETING
111 State Capitol Building
Denver, Colorado 80203
Phone: (303) 866-3317

Roy Romer
Governor
Karen Reinertson
Director

RECEIVED
AUG 30 1991
ELECTIONS/LICENSING
SECRETARY OF STATE

August 30, 1991

The Honorable Natalie Meyer
Secretary of State
1560 Broadway,Suite 200
Denver, CO 80202

Dear Ms. Meyer:

Pursuant to Section 1-40-101(2) Colorado Revised Statutes, the Office of State Planning and Budgeting has prepared the following estimates of the potential impact on state and local revenues and expenditures of the proposed ballot initiative concerning "Sexual Orientation."

Ballot Costs: The anticipated state costs to put this initiative on the ballot are included in the Secretary of State's budget.

Cost of Rescinding Existing Laws: Denver, Boulder, and Aspen currently have relevant protective ordinances. It is estimated that these communities would incur total costs of $41,000 which would include notification costs, ballot costs, and staff time to process the removal of the relevant ordinance. The cost of litigation these municipalities may incur from those citizens whose rights are left unprotected is indeterminate.

Cost of Enforcement: If this initiative is passed and declared constitutional, there would be no future cost to the municipalities for enforcement of such protection. The negative fiscal impact would vary from $2,500 to $15,000 per case which otherwise would have been necessary under the current law.

Legal Costs: Colorado's Civil Rights Division anticipates there will be litigation costs to determine the constitutionality of this initiative. Numerous legal challenges and counter suits by proponents are expected. The municipalities of Aspen, Boulder, and Denver concur. Home rule will also be a legal issue. In addition, the Civil Rights Division feels it will likely have

to resolved by the U.S. Supreme Court. Litigation costs to be incurred are presently indeterminate.

Additional Costs: Additional fiscal impacts on local governments at this time is indeterminate. It is assumed law enforcement costs would likely be effected as altercations may increase against this previously protected class of citizens.

In summary anticipated legal costs for the State are currently indeterminate.

The total fiscal impact to the three local governments is estimated to be at a minimum $41,000. Anticipated legal costs are currently indeterminate.

Sincerely,

Karen Reinertson

Karen Reinertson
Director

cc: George Delaney, Assistant Director
Curt Wiedeman, Assistant Director

RECEIVED
AUG 30 1991
ELECTIONS/LICENSING
SECRETARY OF STATE

STATE OF COLORADO

DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL AFFAIRS

1313 Sherman Street, Rm. 518
Denver, Colorado 80203
Phone (303) 866-2771

Roy Romer
Governor

Larry Kallenberger
Executive Director

September 3, 1991

RECEIVED
SEP 3 1991
ELECTIONS/LICENSING
SECRETARY OF STATE

The Honorable Natalie Meyer
Department of State
1560 Broadway Street, Suite 200
Denver, Colorado 80202

Dear Secretary of State Meyer:

The proposed initiative concerning "No Special Rights" is believed to involve no measurable on-going fiscal impact for local governments if passed. Implementation of the amendment would probably involve legal expenses in testing the amendment, in determining where possibly different federal standards may apply for receipt of federal funds, and in individual local actions testing or repealing existing ordinances and resolutions.

Sincerely,

Larry Kallenberger
Executive Director

STATE OF COLORADO

DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL AFFAIRS

1313 Sherman Street, Rm 518
Denver, Colorado 80203
Phone (303) 866-2771

Roy Romer
Governor

Larry Kallenbach
Executive Director

September 3, 1991

RECEIVED

SEP 3 1991

ELECTIONS/LICENSING
SECRETARY OF STATE

The Honorable Natalie Meyer
Department of State
1560 Broadway Street, Suite 200
Denver, Colorado 80202

Dear Secretary of State Meyer:

The proposed initiative concerning "No Special Rights" is believed to involve no measurable on-going fiscal impact for local governments if passed. Implementation of the amendment would probably involve legal expenses in testing the amendment, in determining where possibly different federal standards may apply for receipt of federal funds, and in individual local actions testing or repealing existing ordinances and resolutions.

Sincerely,

Larry Kallenbach
Executive Director